

Appendix 1: Comrie Conservation Area Appraisal - summary of responses			
date received	name/organisation	summary of comments	response
17/05/2010	Mrs Irwin	Agrees with proposed boundary, tree groups and negative areas identified. Considers any development outwith boundaries would ruin approaches to the village, and the community/roads etc could not sustain any further development.	Comments noted and passed on to Local Development Plan team as more appropriate in response to Main Issues Report.
19/05/2010	Mr/Mrs Shaw	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. No substantive comments.	Comments noted.
19/05/2010	Mrs R. Shiffner	Agrees with the extension of the boundary up Dalginross. Suggests Tomperran House, Coney Hill, Laggan Park and the golf course are also included. Considers Comrie is essentially a village and should not undergo much further development.	Comments noted. Suggested extensions re-assessed but not considered to meet criteria for special architectural or historic character. Comments on future development passed on to Local Development Plan team as more appropriate in response to Main Issues Report.
19/05/2010	anonymous	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Suggests hand painted signs by a local artist, as once common on shops, should be encouraged. Hopes conservation plans will not result in a 'twree'/museum-piece effect - Comrie must remain lively.	Comments and suggestions noted. The intention of the CAA is not to prevent development or progress but to manage the area appropriately while protecting or enhancing its special character.
20/05/2010	J.C. Hamilton	Urges the Council to include woodlands and surrounding area to south-east of Dalginross. Trusts that proposed large-scale development in this area will be opposed by the Council.	Comments and suggestions noted. Suggested extensions re-assessed but not considered to meet criteria for special architectural or historic character. Conservation area designation not appropriate to protect large expanses of woodland. Comments on future development passed on to Local Development Plan team as more appropriate in response to Main Issues Report.
21/05/2010	Historic Scotland	Welcomes the appraisal and broadly supports the boundary proposals and suggested Article 4 Direction and Regulation 11 controls. Suggests area around Earthquake House is re-considered. Makes detailed suggestions re. layout/structure and section content. Suggests analysis in character areas section could be amplified.	Comments and suggestions noted. Earthquake House area re-considered and boundary re-drafted to include it. Suggested text amendments incorporated.
24/05/2010	Mr D. Adams	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative features identified. Suggests Cowden House is mentioned, and its context should be protected. Suggests fields around Cowden to south of Dalginross are retained as landscape framework for the village, for food production and amenity.	Comments and suggestions noted. Suggested extensions re-assessed but not considered to meet criteria for special architectural or historic character. Comments on future development/landscape setting passed on to Local Development Plan team as more appropriate in response to Main Issues Report.
31/05/2010	Caledonian Trust	Appraisal should be restricted to within CA boundary not the town as a whole. Consider proposed extension to east along banks of Earn is not justified. Meaning of key views not explained. Key view looking east from Dalginross Bridge should be deleted. Definition of important tree groups and contribution of trees to setting considered vague. Para. 9.1 should state 'preserve or enhance' not 'preserve and enhance'. Importance of setting should be explained or deleted.	Appropriate to consider wider setting of conservation area to enable full understanding of context and to assist future decision making, in accordance with PAN 71 advice. Eastern banks of Earn have been within boundary since original designation in 1979, and not considered appropriate to remove this area from boundary at this stage. Views/tree groups/setting explained further through main body of text, and addressed elsewhere in relevant planning policy/guidance. Wording of para. 9.1 amended.

late comments			
01/06/2010	anonymous	No substantive comments, exhibition scoring only.	Scores noted.
01/06/2010	D.H. Redfern	Disagrees with proposed boundary and tree groups identified. Agrees with important features and negative features identified. Considers Comrie's conservation status would be compromised if surrounding woodland areas at Glen Lednock, Ross and South Crieff Road were not included in the CA boundary.	Comments noted. Suggested extensions re-assessed but not considered to meet criteria for special architectural or historic character. Conservation area designation not appropriate to protect large expanses of woodland.
02/06/2010	Miss J.H. McDowall	Agrees with important features and negative areas identified. Thinks important tree groups have probably been identified. Surprised that Boghaugh buildings are not included. Queries actions available to Council regarding signage, blank windows etc. Asks for views on plastic bollards running along Melville Square.	Comments and suggestions noted. Suggested extensions re-assessed but not considered to meet criteria for special architectural or historic character. Management powers and Melville Square issues covered within appraisal.
03/06/2010	Mr D. Henderson	Does not agree with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups or negative areas identified. Queries why the proposed extensions cover only the main road area, not the whole of Dalginross, and do not include the entrances to the village. Considers the definition of the proposed boundary arbitrary and half-hearted - would result in divisive differences of control between neighbours. Finds conservation area regulations bizarre and unnecessarily bureaucratic. Considers being included in the proposed boundary would only have negative consequences.	Comments and suggestions noted. Dalginross area re-considered and boundary re-drafted to better reflect areas of special character. The intention of the CAA is not to prevent development or progress, or add unreasonable burdens, but to manage the area appropriately while protecting or enhancing its special character.
04/06/2010	Mr P. Dundas	Welcomes the document and hopes it will stimulate discussion on the future of the village. The special/distinctive character of Comrie and the nature of its setting and approaches should be more clearly and strongly defined, including the importance of inter-related landscape and heritage designations. Concerned at exclusion of area around Drumearn/Earthquake House. Provides detailed suggestions for amendments to text.	Comments and suggestions noted, and text amendments incorporated where appropriate. Earthquake House area re-considered and boundary re-drafted to include it.
15/06/2010	Mr/Mrs Bushby	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Welcomes comments in appraisal that major development appears to have reached its maximum extent. Proposed large-scale development at edges of village must be resisted. Small businesses must be able to develop and expand. Former garage site in Drummond St should not become housing or parking but should be an amenity area.	Comments noted. Comments on future development passed on to Local Development Plan team as more appropriate in response to Main Issues Report.
23/06/2010	Mr P. Bonham-Carter	Welcomes the appraisal. Suggests views into the village should be better identified. Suggests areas to south of village and woodland are considered for inclusion.	Comments noted. Suggested extensions re-assessed but not considered to meet criteria for special architectural or historic character. Comments on future development passed on to Local Development Plan team as more appropriate in response to Main Issues Report.
29/06/2010	Mrs R. Crozier	Suggests additional negative factors to include, some of which also have health and safety/environmental health implications. Suggests the boundaries are extended, particularly to north and west to cover important areas of open space. Buy-to-let/absentee landlords should be required to improve maintenance of properties.	Comments noted. Suggested extensions re-assessed but not considered to meet criteria for special architectural or historic character.

No. responses received by 31 May deadline:	8	
No. responses received after 31 May:	8	
Generally support/positive comments:	13	
Generally oppose/negative comments:	2	
Mixed comments/neutral:	1	
Suggestions for boundary extensions:		
	edges of village	
	Tomperran House, Coney Hill, Laggan Park and the golf course	
	woodlands & area to SE of Dalginross	
	Earthquake House & open space	
	woodland areas at Glen Lednock, Ross and South Crieff Road	
	Boghaugh buildings, South Crieff Road	
	Barrack Road, Gowanlea	
	entrances into village	
	Auchenross, Drumeam, Earthquake House	
Consultation feedback:		average scores (5 = very good to 1 = very poor)
Advanced notice/advertising of event and venue		1 4 2 1 4 3 = ave. 3
Quality and accessibility of web-based information		4 4 1 3 5 4 = ave. 4
Suitability and accessibility of exhibition venue		5 5 4 4 5 5 5 = ave. 5
Quality of the exhibition display and content		5 5 4 5 4 5 5 = ave. 5
Professional advice and help from Council staff		5 5 5 4 5 4 5 5 = ave. 5
Convenience and timescale given to provide your comments		5 5 4 3 4 2 3 = ave. 4
comments:	Feels baffled by whole process: would have been useful to get a planner's perspective as well.	
	More time should be allowed to digest the appraisal.	
	Web information all right, but maps too small/could not be manipulated on screen, nothing on existing CA for comparison	