

Appendix 1: Dunning Conservation Area Appraisal - summary of responses			
date received	name/organisation	summary of comments	response
23/02/2010	W.A. McIntosh	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features and negative areas identified. Has concerns about satellite dishes in the wrong places, replacement windows and doors.	Enforcement strategy to be discussed further. Proposed Article 4 Direction should help reduce further erosion of character.
23/02/2010	Mr S. Allan	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Flats at Station Rd/Tron Sq unsightly with too many satellite dishes. Street light behind Fountain should be relocated. St Serf's Church, the Thorn Tree and War Memorial should be flood lit. Paths along Dunning Burn to Newton of Pitcairns, and beside Bowling Club to Granco, should be improved. Parking restrictions should be introduced in Bridgend/Tron Square/bridge beside Kirkstyle Inn.	Suggestions for enhancements noted and these will become subject of further public consultation in the future.
25/02/2010	anonymous	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Considers that the new school extension is ugly and creates a poor impression on approach to village. Does not agree that the Fountain area or Tron Square have been enhanced - an eyesore with poor workmanship. Agrees that yellow gridded pull-in area outside school should be enhanced. Makes suggestions for improvements: old-fashioned lighting along Perth Rd; a Christmas tree with lights; bus shelter, Perth Rd; address dog fouling problems; add a salt bin for Lower Granco St; children's play area not used; new school should have had a community hall/public access to computers. Suggests it is unfair to single out a property in the Granco for criticism of alterations.	Comments noted and will be communicated to Placecheck team. Suggestions for enhancements noted and these will become subject of further public consultation in the future.
26/02/2010	Mr A. Jamieson	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features and tree groups identified. Makes the following suggestions: heavy commercial traffic via Muckhart Rd should have been mentioned; paddock south of Thimbleton may remain undeveloped because it is an infilled quarry; disused store at Rollo Park may be a store, and could be rationalised when the new pavilion is built; disused store in Auchterarder Rd should be mentioned; removal of overhead wires would be welcome. Agrees that implementation of an Article 4 Direction would be helpful.	Comments noted.
03/03/2010	T. Currie	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Considers Placecheck has been positive and looks forward to completion of remaining works. Staff at exhibition very helpful regarding implications of conservation area for residents. Suggests community buy out/clean up of negative areas identified.	Comments noted.

date received	name/organisation	summary of comments	response
03/03/2010	Mr & Mrs Start	Agree with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Request advice on restoration of own property. Suggest possible inclusion of Maggie Walls' cross & proper information about it. Welcome appraisal. Stress that Scout Hut should be demolished and store in Kirk Wynd restored.	Maggie Walls monument is an interesting feature but is considered too distant from core of village to be feasible for inclusion in conservation area. It is already protected by virtue of its category B listed status. Suggestions for enhanced interpretation noted.
05/03/2010	anonymous	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative features identified. Suggests pavement in Perth Rd opposite Spar needs improvement. Puzzled by previous planning approvals: telegraph poles forming windbreak in Station Rd and 2 storey block at Bridge of Earn Road is very intrusive on the skyline.	Comments noted. Suggestions for enhancements noted and these will become subject of further public consultation in the future.
08/03/2010	Mrs M. Johnston	Considers further conservation would be bad for Dunning and disagrees with any proposed extension. Considers it unfair that some properties have PVC windows while others are not allowed to because of having to conform to unreasonable conservation rules. Feels that if the village is kept 'purist', it will die. Authorities must consider comfort and affordability. Would prefer to be treated equally to those outside conservation areas, or have all conservation areas scrapped.	Comments noted. The Conservation & Regeneration team is happy to discuss all proposals for alterations and to help find appropriate alternative suggestions where standard solutions may not be appropriate. Conservation area status is about managing change while protecting what is important about an area, not preventing change altogether.
08/03/2010	Mr A. Sinclair	Agrees with important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Suggests that the conservation status of the Reading Room is upgraded to ensure its protection; Newton of Pitcairns should be protected either by individual listing or inclusion of the whole area; the ford is an important feature and should be protected.	Comments noted. The Reading Room is already protected by virtue of its status as part of the category C(S) listed Town Hall, which covers the interior of the building as well as the exterior. Newton of Pitcairns was considered for inclusion as a conservation area but, while having some features and buildings of interest, was not considered of sufficient architectural and historic merit to justify inclusion. However its status can be reconsidered when the conservation area is next reviewed.
late comments			
30/03/2010	Mr S. Marshall	Considers appraisal factually incorrect and misleading re. proposed boundary extensions, as respondent's house is included in boundary but not mentioned as a new extension. Requests house is removed from conservation area boundary or justification provided for inclusion.	The house in question lies on land included in the conservation area boundary from its original designation in 1970. When new houses, including the respondent's, were built in this area they automatically became part of the conservation area as the site is located within the boundary. During the re-appraisal assessment the Townhead area as a whole was considered to continue to make a positive contribution to the conservation area and it was not therefore considered appropriate to remove it from the conservation area boundary.
06/04/2010	Historic Scotland	Welcomes the intention of the appraisal, the format and proposed management measures. Makes a number of suggestions and corrections on format and order of text.	Comments noted and corrections incorporated.

Total responses received by 8 March deadline: 9		
Generally support/positive comments: 7		
Generally oppose/negative comments: 1		
Mixed comments/neutral: 1		
Suggestions for boundary extensions:		
	Newton of Pitcairns: 1	
	Maggie Walls' monument: 1	
Consultation feedback:		average scores (5 = very good to 1 = very poor)
Advanced notice/advertising of event and venue		4
Quality and accessibility of web-based information		3
Suitability and accessibility of exhibition venue		4
Quality of the exhibition display and content		4
Professional advice and help from Council staff		4
Convenience and timescale given to provide your comments		4
comments:	Dunning has no computer for public use; disabled access should have been advertised at front door; no seats.	
	Questions accessibility of venue owing to steps; previous discussions re. options for Dunning Burn were not properly represented.	