

<b>Appendix 1: Kinnoull Conservation Area Appraisal - summary of responses</b>			
<b>date received</b>	<b>name/organisation</b>	<b>summary of comments</b>	<b>response</b>
14/10/2009	<b>Mr N. Renfrew</b>	Delighted that Commercial Street is included in the area. Considers comments re. window changes too diplomatic.	
26/10/2009	<b>M. Thomson</b>	Hopes that conservation plans proceed, particularly around Bridgend which at present does not create a good impression.	
26/10/2009	<b>Mr &amp; Mrs B. Eames</b>	Consider that draft proposals reflect views of Kinnoull residents. Agree with the proposed boundary, important features and characteristics identified. Consider a number of opportunities for enhancement should be added: external signage at Isle of Skye Hotel; landscaping at car park, an important gateway to Perth; detailed study of traffic and access to shops; prioritisation of improvement to main-street properties. Identify map inaccuracies. Non-PC literate may have been less able to access information - information could be summarised in booklet form for every household.	Additional suggestions for enhancement will be kept under review. Map inaccuracies a result of Ordnance Survey information, unfortunately outwith the Council's control. Suggestions for improvements to consultation process welcome, although at present an individual booklet for each household would not be feasible to produce.
29/10/2009	<b>Mr G. Watson</b>	Welcomes measures to safeguard area; however is concerned about streets outwith designated area and broad interpretation of policies for them. Suggests general policies should be revisited before CA is looked at.	Comments on policy have been passed on to Development Plans team. Forthcoming Placemaking Guide will provide design advice in all contexts, not just within conservation areas.
04/11/2009	<b>Mr E. McCulloch</b>	Applauds general quality of appraisal. Agrees with important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Welcomes inclusion of Commercial St and Bridgend. Also suggests inclusion of whole Isla Road area and Potterhill flats.	Welcome comments and suggestions. After assessment the proposed areas are either not considered of suitable quality for inclusion in the conservation area boundary, or to have sufficient levels of protection already, eg. through listing. However the boundary will be re-considered in future review cycles.
06/11/2009	<b>Ms S. Morrison</b>	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features, tree groups and negative areas identified. Suggests enhancement of Main St/Bridgend/Dundee Rd area could include measures for Safer Routes to Schools, such as railings or bollards. Would also welcome more bright paint colours used for buildings.	Suggestions have been noted and will be considered when more detailed designs/proposals can be developed for the area. These proposals will be the subject of further public consultation.
10/11/2009	<b>Ms M. Murray</b>	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features and tree groups identified. Suggests more could be done to improve Bridgend, particularly regarding empty shops, loss of Post Office and economic decline.	Suggestions have been noted and will be considered when more detailed designs/proposals can be developed for the area. These proposals will be the subject of further public consultation.
10/11/2009	<b>anonymous</b>	Agrees with proposed boundary, important features and negative areas identified. Particularly concerned by replacement of original windows with PVC - recommends anything that can be done to protect unlisted buildings. Suggests a mailshot to all residents in the CA would be more effective publicity.	The proposed Article 4 Direction should help to control erosion of character by replacement windows, etc. A series of leaflets on conservation areas is being developed and it is intended one will be produced for Kinnoull in due course.

date received	name/organisation	summary of comments	response
10/11/2009	<b>Bridgend, Gannochy and Kinnoull Community Council</b>	Commend the report and support its recommendations. Pleased that many of the CC's earlier suggestions have been included but note concerns that Fernhill House area has been excluded. Ask to be advised of timescale for implementing proposals, particularly TPOs at St Mary's Monastery and tree belt between Fernhill and Bellwood. Also request feedback on the use of Kinnoull Church for the exhibition	Area around Fernhill House has been re-included as a result of these comments. Queries re. timing of TPOs have been passed to Conservation Officer (Management) to address. See consultation scoring of venue below for further information - comments very positive.
10/11/2009	<b>Historic Scotland</b>	Welcome the appraisal and conservation strategy.	
11/11/2009	<b>Perthshire Chamber of Commerce</b>	No formal comment - no representations made to the Chamber on the appraisal.	
Total responses: 11			
Generally support/positive comments: 10			
Generally oppose/negative comments: 0			
Mixed comments/neutral: 1			
Suggestions for boundary extensions:			
Fernhill: 1			
Isla Rd: 1			
Potterhill flats: 1			
Consultation feedback:			average scores (5 = very good to 1 = very poor)
Advanced notice/advertising of event and venue			4
Quality and accessibility of web-based information			4
Suitability and accessibility of exhibition venue			5
Quality of the exhibition display and content			5
Professional advice and help from Council staff			4
Convenience and timescale given to provide your comments			5