# **Delayed Office Opening** for Employee Training

This office will be closed from 8.45 am - 11.00 am on the first Thursday of each month.



**Planning** Head of Service David Littlejohn

Pullar House, 35 Kinnoull Street, Perth, PH1 5GD Tel 01738 475000 Fax 01738 476410

Contact Katrina Walker

> Direct Dial 01738 476509 Email: klwalker@pkc.gov.uk

www.pkc.gov.uk

Date 22 January 2019

**SEA Gateway** 2-J (South) Victoria Quay Edinburgh EH6 6QQ

Dear Sir/Madam

# Perth & Kinross Council Housing in the Countryside Guide - Screening **Determination**

This statement sets out the Council's determination under Section 8(1) of the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005 on whether or not a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) is required for the above Supplementary Guidance.

# **SEA Screening Process**

The screening process involved consulting the following Consultation Authorities on whether the Supplementary Guidance is likely to have significant environmental effects:

- Historic Environment Scotland
- Scottish Environmental Protection Agency
- Scottish Natural Heritage

As well as consulting the above bodies, responsible authorities are required to take into account the criteria set out in Schedule 2 of the Act when determining whether or not the Supplementary Guidance is likely to have significant environmental effects.

In this instance, the Council wrote to the Consultation Authorities on 28 November 2018. Their responses are summarised as follows:

Consultation Authority	Comment	Likely to have significant environmental effects
Historic Environment Scotland	HES's understanding is that this guidance supports Policy 19: Housing in the	No

Scottish Environmental Protection Agency	Countryside of the Perth and Kinross Council LDP2 and updates the existing guidance on the subject. HES welcomes the screening process that has been carried out that tests the changes to the existing guidance and the likelihood of significant environmental effects as a result of these changes. HES notes that this assessment does not identify any significant environmental effects beyond those identified in the previous assessment and that of the LDP policy assessment. HES are content to agree with these findings and, in light of this and the further information contained within the screening report, agree that the guide in itself is unlikely to have additional significant effects on the historic environment.  Having reviewed the Screening Report, SEPA consider that in respect of their main areas of interest (air, water, soil, human health, material assets (of which we have a specific interest in waste) and climatic factors) the Perth and Kinross Council Housing in the Countryside Guide is unlikely to have significant environmental effects.  SEPA understands that Housing in the Countryside Guide is Supplementary Guidance which will support Policy 19: Housing in the Countryside, of the Perth & Kinross Council Local Development Plan 2. This is a revision of the existing SG which was the subject of full SEA in 2009	No
	was the subject of full SEA in 2009 (SEA\00338). SEPA agree that no significant environmental effects in addition to the ones already considered in the previous version and in addition to the ones already considered as part of the environmental assessment of Policy 19 are likely to arise from the proposed changes to the SG.	
Scottish Natural Heritage	The screening report states that this guidance supports Policy 19 'Housing in the Countryside' in Perth and Kinross Council's Local Development Plan 2 and updates the existing guidance. SNH notes this is a consultation draft for a revision of the existing Housing in the Countryside Guidance, which was subject to full SEA in	No

 2009 (ref. SEA 00338). SNH are content	
that no significant environmental effects in	
addition to the ones considered in the	
previous assessment are likely from the	
proposed changes to the guidance. SNH	
therefore agree that the guidance is not	
likely to have significant environmental	
effects in respect of their main areas of	
interest.	

The Council has also considered the plan against the criteria set out in Schedule 2 of the Act, and this analysis is attached to this document (See Appendix).

# **Reasons for Determination**

Having consulted the three consultation authorities, and having considered the criteria set out in the Act, the Council considers that the Housing in the Countryside Guide is unlikely to have significant environmental effects.

The Council has therefore determined that SEA is not required.

Yours faithfully

L. L. waller

Katrina Walker Planning Officer

DETAILS OF THE PLAN						
Responsible Authority:  Title of the plan:	Perth & Kinross Council  Housing in the Countryside Guide					
What prompted the plan: (e.g. a legislative, regulatory or administrative provision)  Plan subject: (e.g. transport)	Legislative provision – the Housing in the Countryside Guide is Supplementary Guidance (SG) which will support Policy 19: Housing in the Countryside, of the Perth & Kinross Council Local Development Plan 2 (LDP2).  Town and Country Planning					
Screening is required by the Environmental Assessment (Scotland) Act 2005.  Based on Boxes 3 and 4, our view is that:	An SEA is required, as the environmental effects are likely to be significant: Please indicate below what Section of the 2005 Act this plan falls within  Section 5(3)  Section 5(4)  An SEA is not required, as the environmental effects are unlikely to be significant: Please indicate below what Section of the 2005 Act this plan falls within  Section 5(3)  Section 5(4)					
Contact details:	Katrina Walker Planning Officer – Development Plans Team Perth & Kinross Council Pullar House 35 Kinnoull Street Perth, PH1 5GD 01738 476509 klwalker@pkc.gov.uk					
Date:	28/11/18					

#### **CONTEXT AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PLAN**

#### **Context of the Plan:**

The SG sits within a hierarchy of Plans: the Perth & Kinross LDP2 and the higher level Strategic Development Plan (TAYplan2). The policy context for the SG is contained within LDP2 and the framework for development has already been set by these higher level plans. The SG expands upon the policy and is used to support the content of the LDP by providing guidance on how Policy 19 is to be interpreted.

#### **Description of the Plan:**

The SG will assist in the delivery of LDP2 Policy 19: Housing in the Countryside. The SG provides guidance for the assessment of development proposals for the erection, or creation through conversion, of single houses and groups of houses in the countryside. It seeks to encourage sustainable development in rural areas whilst safeguarding the character of the countryside, supporting the viability of communities, meeting development needs in appropriate locations, and ensuring that high standards of siting and design are achieved. The SG provides guidance on:

- 1) Building Groups
- 2) Infill Sites
- 3) New Houses in the Open Countryside
- 4) Renovation or replacement of houses
- 5) Conversion or replacement of redundant non-domestic buildings
- 6) Development on rural brownfield land

The SG is applicable to all areas of Perth & Kinross with the exception of the Green Belt where its application is limited to proven economic need, conversions and replacement buildings.

The SG will be adopted as statutory supplementary guidance to LDP2.

Note – representations have been submitted on the Proposed LDP2 requesting that more of the Housing in the Countryside categories be applicable within the Green Belt. Should the Examination Reporter make a change to Policy 19 this may result in a consequential change to the SG and if necessary, this screening report will be revised.

# What are the key components of the plan?

- Sets the criteria which all proposals must meet
- Sets specific criteria for each of the categories of the policy

Have any of the components of the plan been considered in previous SEA work?

Yes. This is a revision of the existing SG which was the subject of full SEA in 2009 (SEA\00338). The policy to which this SG relates was subsequently included within the adopted LDP 2014 and now within Proposed LDP2.

In terms of your response to Boxes 7 and 8 above, set out those components of the plan that are likely to require screening:	See table 1.1 below

# IDENTIFYING INTERACTIONS OF THE PLAN WITH THE ENVIRONMENT AND CONSIDERING THE LIKELY SIGNIFICANCE OF ANY INTERACTIONS

The Housing in the Countryside Policy (HiCP) itself, together with the associated Supplementary Guidance (SG), underwent full SEA in 2009 when the policy in its current form was first introduced. This screening report will therefore focus on the main changes which are proposed to the SG to determine whether any of these will result in significant environmental effects.

Table 1.1: Main changes to the SG

Section	Main changes proposed to SG
Introduction	Green Belt Under Local Development Plan (LDP) 1 and the adopted SG the HiCP does not apply within the Green Belt. Under Policy 19 of Proposed LDP2 and the draft revised SG, Policy 19 does now apply within the Green Belt but is restricted to proven economic need (category 3.3) renovation or replacement of houses (category 4), and conversion or replacement of redundant non-domestic buildings (category 5). This reflects the changes to LDP2 Policy 41: Green Belt.  Lunan Valley Catchment Area  Under LDP1 and the adopted SG application of the HiCP was limited within the Lunan Valley Catchment Area to economic need, conversions or replacement. This restriction has now been removed under Proposed LDP2 and the draft revised SG. This reflects the changes to LDP2 Policy 43: Lunan Lochs Catchment Area.
For All Proposals	Proposed changes to provide additional clarity only
Category 1 – Building groups	Proposed changes to provide additional clarity only
Category 2 – Infill sites	Proposed changes to provide additional clarity only
Category 3 – New houses in open countryside	It is not proposed to change the overall aim of this category but more detailed guidance is to be provided on the following sections. The proposed changes to all other sections within this category are to provide additional clarity only.

	Economic Activity  Under the adopted SG, permission for a house under this category may be restricted by an occupancy condition to ensure it remains as essential worker housing in perpetuity. Scottish Planning Policy now directs against the use of such conditions and it is therefore proposed to place more emphasis in the revised SG on the siting and design of houses in the open countryside. It is proposed that the revised SG will provide more detailed information on the criteria to be applied in considering a planning application under this category in order to assist in the decision making process.  Pilot projects creating eco-friendly houses/ Houses for Sustainable Living It is proposed to rename this section of the SG as 'Houses for Sustainable Living' to more accurately reflect that proposals under this category are about a lifestyle approach rather than the building itself. Previously this category simply stated that 'proposals may be supported where a rural setting is required and the project is linked to the management of land or use of land for sustainable living.' It is proposed that more detailed guidance will highlight that this category is aimed at proposals for 'one-off, bespoke single houses which are at the forefront of sustainability.' It is proposed that applicants will be required to demonstrate that:  • the new house in a rural setting is essential as an integral part of an overall scheme for the management or use of land which will allow occupants of the house to be largely self-sufficient; and  • proposals go beyond those technologies that are widely available.
Category 4 – Renovation or replacement of houses	Proposed changes to provide additional clarity only
Category 5 – Conversion or replacement of redundant non-domestic buildings	It is not proposed to change the overall aim of this category but to increase the emphasis placed on the requirement for the applicant to demonstrate that buildings are redundant and cannot be sold or let for an alternative employment use.
Category 6 – Development on rural brownfield land	Proposed changes to provide additional clarity only

#### Table 1.2: Preferred Alternative: Protecting Natural and Cultural Heritage

The previous SEA identified that the amendments to the policy should focus on reducing the adverse effects on biodiversity, cultural heritage and the landscape. The table below sets out the findings from the full SEA. It then reassesses those categories where the changes proposed to the Supplementary Guidance (SG) will potentially change the scope for additional houses in the countryside and if so, whether this will result in any additional significant impacts on the environment. Sections of the Guidance where the proposed changes are to provide additional clarity only have not been included in the table.

The proposed changes to the Introduction section relating to the Green Belt and the Lunan Lochs Catchment Area are a direct result of the changes to policy 41: Green Belt and Policy 43: Lunan Lochs Catchment Area. The impacts of these proposed changes have already been assessed in the SEA policy assessment for policies 41 and 43 for LDP2. These proposed changes have not therefore been reassessed in the table.

Effect						
Major Positive	++					
Minor Positive	+					
Unknown	0					
Neutral/None	/					
Minor Negative	-					
Major Negative						

		Findings from	Proposed Changes to SG (2019) – Significant or Not?				
SEA Topic	SEA Objectives	Significance of Effects	Comments	Proposed Mitigation	Intro	Cat 3	Cat 5
Biodiversity	Maintain, protect and	+	Emphasis of policy	Structure and Local	The impact of the	With the removal	The proposed
	where necessary		should ensure that the	Plan policies already	proposed	of occupancy	increased emphasis
	enhance habitats and		development of	seek to safeguard	changes to allow	restrictions under	on the requirement
	species of		housing in the	against impacts on	the limited	section 3.3,	for applicants to
	international, national		countryside can be	protected areas and	application of the	increased emphasis	demonstrate that a
	and regional		accommodated with	species. Siting and	policy within the	is to be placed on	building is redundant
	conservation value,		limited impact on LBAP	Design Guidance	Green Belt, and	the siting and	may result in fewer
	including the		habitats and species.	needs to emphasise	within the	design criteria.	traditional buildings
	populations of			issues and solutions.	previously		being demolished

	European Dratasted			Awaranass raising far	roctricted Lunes	Increased	which in turn is likely
	European Protected Species and protection			Awareness raising for developers, architects	restricted Lunan Lochs Catchment	Increased emphasis is to be	which in turn is likely to have a minor
	for their resting			and planners		•	positive effect.
	places.				area, have already been	placed on the sustainability	positive effect.
	places.			regarding		•	
				development /	assessed through	element of	
				redevelopment	the policy	proposals under	
				proposals to avoid	assessment for	section 3.5 and the	
				impacts and provide	policies 41 and 43	need to consider	
				enhancement	in the SEA for	not only the house	
				opportunities.	LDP2.	itself but also the	
						use of land.	
						Th	
						The proposed	
						changes to this	
						category are	
						therefore likely to	
						result in minor	
						positive effects.	
Population	Accommodate the	 +	Negative in terms of	Structure and Local	As above.	The proposed	There may be a slight
and Human	desire for people to		meeting the	Plan policies already		changes will enable	reduction in the
Health	live in the countryside		requirements of SPP15	seek to protect and		new houses to	opportunities for new
	and direct		in that it would	enhance natural and		continue to be built	houses arising from
	development to		provide significantly	cultural heritage.		in the open	the proposed
	appropriate areas.		reduced opportunities	Policy and Siting		countryside under	changes, but this is
			for people to live in	Design guidance		section 3.3 despite	likely to be balanced
			the countryside but	needs to emphasise		the removal of	against the positive
			would provide scope	issues and solutions.		occupancy	effects of retaining or
			to avoid sensitive			restrictions. This is	creating new
			areas.			likely to result in a	employment uses in
						minor positive	the countryside (if
						effect.	buildings are not
							proven to be
						There may be a	redundant). Any
						slight reduction in	effects arising from
						the opportunities	the proposed changes
						for new houses	are therefore likely to

Soils	Maintain, protect and where necessary enhance the fundamental qualities and productive capacities of soils.	-	Flexibility to avoid sensitive areas, but accommodating development still involves the use of soils.	Local Plan policies to support the re-use of brownfield sites to minimise use of greenfield land and productive soils.	As above.	arising from the proposed changes to section 3.5, but any negative effects are likely to be minor.  The proposed changes will enable new houses to continue to be built in the open countryside under section 3.3 despite the removal of occupancy	Proposed changes to this category are about the use of existing buildings rather than the construction of new buildings. There is unlikely to be any additional or new
						restrictions. There is unlikely to be any additional or new impacts arising as a result of the proposed change.	impacts arising as a result of the proposed changes.
						There may be a slight reduction in the opportunities for new houses arising from the proposed changes to section 3.5 so the change is	
Water	Safeguard water resources and water	-	Flexibility to avoid sensitive areas, but	Where sensitive sites cannot be avoided	As above.	the change is therefore likely to result in a minor positive effect. The proposed changes will enable	Proposed changes to this category are

	quality ansura na		accommodating	require mitigation of		now houses to	about the use of
	quality, ensure no		accommodating	require mitigation of		new houses to	about the use of
	deterioration of the		development still likely	adverse impacts and		continue to be built	existing buildings
	ecological status of		to impact on	enhancement through		in the open	rather than the
	waterbodies and		waterbodies to some	the planning		countryside under	construction of new
	where possible		extent.	application process.		section 3.3 despite	buildings. There is
	enhance the			Need for Drainage		the removal of	unlikely to be any
	ecological value of			Impact Assessments		occupancy	additional or new
	watercourses and			and compliance with		restrictions. There	impacts arising as a
	bodies.			the Water		is unlikely to be	result of the
				Environment		any additional or	proposed changes.
				(Controlled Activities)		new impacts	
				(Scotland) Regulations		arising as a result	
				2005 (CAR) (as		of the proposed	
				amended).		changes.	
						_	
						There may be a	
						slight reduction in	
						the opportunities	
						for new houses	
						arising from the	
						proposed changes	
						to section 3.5 so	
						the change is	
						therefore likely to	
						result in a minor	
						positive effect.	
Air Quality	Direct development to	-	In directing	Local Plan policies to	As above.	The proposed	Proposed changes to
and Noise	a location which		development to most	promote	7.5 above.	changes will enable	this category are
and Noise	reduces the need to		appropriate areas in	homeworking to		new houses to	about the use of
	travel and reduces		environmental terms,	reduce the need to		continue to be built	existing buildings
			•	travel.			rather than the
	journey length and		not necessarily	uavei.		in the open	
	ensure compliance		reducing journey			countryside under	construction of new
	with Air Quality		length.			section 3.3 despite	buildings. There is
	objectives.		Duration and			the removal of	unlikely to be any
			permanency unknown			occupancy	additional or new
			because it will depend			restrictions. There	impacts arising as a

				on factors outwith Council control – does not address fundamental issue that people will choose to travel regardless.			is unlikely to be any additional or new impacts arising as a result of the proposed changes.  There may be a slight reduction in the opportunities for new houses arising from the proposed changes	result of the changes.
							to section 3.5 so the change is therefore likely to result in a minor	
							positive effect.	
Climatic Factors	Reduce the area's vulnerability to the	+	-	New development or redevelopment	Ensure adequate flood prevention	As above.	The proposed changes will enable	The proposed increased emphasis
	effects of climate			unlikely to be	measures are put in		new houses to	on the requirement
	change, especially			permitted on flood	place through the		continue to be built	for applicants to
	flooding, through the			plains, however	planning application		in the open	demonstrate that a
	direction of new			conversion or	process.		countryside under	building is redundant
	development to			rebuilding for	Seek a Flood Risk		section 3.3 despite	may result in fewer
	appropriate areas, and			residential use (e.g.	Assessment for		the removal of	conversions to
	reduce greenhouse			mills) could be in	proposed new		occupancy	housing which could
	gas emissions by			locations at risk from	development that is		restrictions. There	have a minor positive
	encouraging forms of			flooding, which could	itself at risk from		is unlikely to be	effect where the
	development that			therefore introduce a	flooding or that could		any additional or	building is in a flood
	maximise energy			more flood sensitive	potentially increase		new impacts	risk location.
	efficiency and			use and therefore	the risk of flooding		arising as a result	
	conservation, and that			could potentially result	elsewhere.		of the proposed	
	promote the use of			in negative effects.	LP policies to promote		changes.	
	renewable energy			Seeking to protect	sustainable			
	where appropriate.			natural and cultural	development		Increased	

Cultural Heritage	Maintain, protect, and where appropriate enhance and restore historic buildings, archaeological sites and other culturally important features.	++	heritage will not necessarily encourage forms of development that maximise energy efficiency and conservation, and that promote the use of renewable resources.  Actively positive as has potential to result in the maintenance, protection and enhancement of historically and culturally important areas and sites.	practices. For All Proposals section of policy to make reference to sustainable development practices. Restrict scale of development if remote from public transport.	As above.	emphasis is to be placed on the sustainability element of proposals under section 3.5 and the need to consider not only the house itself but also the use of land. The proposed changes are therefore likely to result in minor positive effects.  The proposed changes to this category relate to new development rather than the reuse of existing buildings. There is unlikely to be any new or additional impacts on cultural heritage as a result of the proposed changes.	The proposed increased emphasis on the requirement for applicants to demonstrate that a building is redundant may result in fewer traditional buildings being demolished which in turn is likely to have a minor positive effect.
Landscape	Maintain and where necessary enhance, landscape character, especially those locations designated as having particular worth.	++	Actively positive as seeks to manage historic sites and landscapes.		As above.	With the proposed removal of occupancy restrictions under section 3.3, increased emphasis is to be placed on	The proposed increased emphasis on the requirement for applicants to demonstrate that a building is redundant may result in fewer
	Protect and enhance countryside and rural	++	Positive as it allows the maintenance of local		As above.	the siting and design criteria. The	traditional buildings being demolished

Material	character and respect existing pattern, form and setting of small settlements and building groups.  Minimise the use of			distinctiveness and respects settlement character.	Local Dian policies to	As above.	proposed changes to this category are therefore likely to result in minor positive effects.  There may be a slight reduction in the opportunities for new houses arising from the proposed changes to section 3.5 so the change is therefore likely to result in a minor positive effect.	which in turn is likely to have a minor positive effect.
Assets	resources including fuel and chemicals, and minimise the creation of waste by following the waste hierarchy: Reduce – Reuse – Recycle – Recover  Maximise the sustainable use/re-use of material assets (land and buildings).	+	-	housing according to protection of natural and cultural heritage is not necessarily where the resources are.  Whilst re-use of land and buildings generally positive, could also be negative effects as brownfield land may be of higher biodiversity value than	Local Plan policies to promote sustainable development practices including sustainable construction.  Assessment of the biodiversity value of brownfield sites and existing buildings required through the planning application process and where	As above.	With the proposed removal of occupancy restrictions under section 3.3, increased emphasis is to be placed on the siting and design criteria. The proposed changes to this category are therefore likely to result in minor positive effects.	The proposed increased emphasis on the requirement for applicants to demonstrate that a building is redundant may result in more traditional buildings being reused rather than demolished which in turn is likely to have a minor positive effect.
				greenfield.	necessary mitigation and enhancement measures put in		emphasis is to be placed on the sustainability	

		place.	element of	
		P. 1.1.	proposals under	
			section 3.5 and the	
			need to consider	
			not only the house	
			itself but also the	
			use of land. The	
			proposed changes	
			are therefore likely	
			to result in minor	
			positive effects.	

#### STATEMENT OF THE FINDINGS OF THE SCREENING

#### **Table 1.3: Summary of Assessment**

#### Introduction

The proposed changes to the Introduction section relating to the Green Belt and the Lunan Lochs Catchment Area are a direct result of the changes to policy 41: Green Belt and Policy 43: Lunan Lochs Catchment Area. The impacts of these proposed changes have therefore already been assessed in the SEA policy assessment for policies 41 and 43 for LDP2.

# Category 3 – New houses in open countryside

Minor positive effects have been identified relating to biodiversity, population and human health (section 3.3), soils (section 3.5), water (section 3.5), air quality and noise (section 3.5), climatic factors (section 3.5), landscape, and material assets.

Minor negative effects have been identified relating to population and human health (section 3.5).

In respect of soils (section 3.3), water (section 3.3), air quality and noise (section 3.3), climatic factors (section 3.3), and cultural heritage no new or additional effects have been identified.

#### **Overall Conclusions**

No significant environmental effects have been identified arising from the proposed changes to this category of the SG.

#### Category 5 – Conversion or replacement of redundant non-domestic buildings

Minor positive effects have been identified relating to biodiversity, climatic factors, cultural heritage, landscape, and material assets.

In respect of population and human health, soils, water, and air quality and noise no new or additional effects (or neutral effects) have been identified.

#### **Overall Conclusions**

No significant environmental effects are identified arising from the proposed changes to this category of the SG.

# Statement of the findings of the Screening:

Screening of the revised Housing in the Countryside SG identified no additional significant environmental effects arising from the proposed changes to the SG. It is not expected that the proposed changes to the SG will create any additional cumulative or trans-boundary effects, additional risks to human health, exceed any quality standards or limits, or result in any additional impacts on special or protected natural, historic or cultural environments.

Perth & Kinross Council is therefore of the opinion that SEA of the changes proposed to the Housing in the Countryside SG is not required because they will not result in any significant environmental effects.

When completed send to: SEA.gateway@scotland.gsi.gov.uk or to the SEA Gateway, Scottish Government, Area 2H (South), Victoria Quay, Edinburgh, EH6 6QQ.