

SPR Planning Local Review Body

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 September 2024 09:00
To: SPR Planning Local Review Body; Graham Stewart
Subject: RE: LRB-2024-35

CAUTION: This email originated from an external organisation. Do not follow guidance, click links, or open attachments unless you have verified the sender and know the content is safe.

Good morning,

I hope my email finds you both well.

In relation to the appeal being recieved for the following failed planning application 23/01305/FLL. Mr and Mrs Lewis Farrow would like to bring forward the following additional points.

- Following the application being rejected, there have been many weekends throughout the summer where Mr and Mrs Cutt have used the caravan to stay over. This brings question to the respect of the rejected planning application despite the right to appeal. It also raises concerns of where their waste water and human waste is being disposed. The caravan is very close to our home, this is a huge concern.
- Despite the planning being rejected the electricity supply and water supply has been put into site. There seems to be a real confidence that planning will be approved. This planning process doesn't seem to have paused while they await the correct approval. The water supply hole was dug at the correct entrance to the field, this has now been open to the elements for months and not filled in. (Pictures attached) it's a further scar to Kinfauns landscape.
- The addition of sheep as a further reason to have onsite accommodation seems extremely far fetched. With the plans of thousands of plants, trees and hedges it doesn't seem there will be much room for many sheep to graze. A "grazing" area for the sheep isn't included in the plan. There are many fields around here with flocks of sheep with no onsite accommodation. Sheep don't need 24 hour supervision.
- Contracting farmers have been used to plough, harrow and cut the grass. A further reason to highlight the maintenance of the small field doesn't require onsite accommodation.
- There is a working fruitfarm a stones throw away from this field. On a daily basis we witness workers starting work at 7am and working all day in much much larger fields. They don't require onside accommodation. Why would this fruit farm.be any different? A portable toilet would suffice and could be maintained and emptied sp that health and hygiene was maintained to a acceptable level.
- Throughout the summer with the councils new approach to greenspace our verges have become very overgrown. We are on board with this and the reason behind it. Unfortunately the junction at Kinfauns where Mr and Mrs Cutt continue to access the field has become a real danger. There have been many near misses due to the poor visibility at that junction. With a further entrance being added as suggested by Mr and Mrs Cutt is adding to this danger for all parties. The application rejection has again been ignored by the continued use of this junction.
- The overall appearance of the field is none more that an eyesore. The huge bNk of weeks behind the caravan is unsightly. The caravan itself hasn't been maintained, its green with

weeds growing out of the roof. The makeshift poly tunnels that have been installed right beside the caravan have frequently blown over. Large areas of black tarpaulin have also been laid, these have again blown in the wind and left. From each way into Kinfauns the field is nothing more than a mess.

- Visitors to our home frequently pass negative comment on the field and its contents and how its not in keeping with the area. (Pictires attached)
- The appeal mentions that the bottom corner isn't suitable for the caravan due to mud and that the real entrance to the field isn't adequate. There seems to be no desire to listen to the suggestions to make their "idea" work. The behaviour flagged in our original email to reject the planning application flagged a desire for Mr and Mrs Cutt to continue as if planning had been approved, despite the application being rejected this behaviour had continued which is very concerning.
- The community continues to be concerned at the future intent of all of this development. There doesn't seem to be genuine reason behind the fruit business despite the Cutts joining schemes or associations.

Kind regards

Mr and Mrs Farrow















