



Equality and Fairness Impact Assessment (EFIA) Form and Guidance

If the 'policy or practice'* you are developing or going to develop is assessed as relevant after undertaking the online screening process (the Impact & Value Assessment) - that is, it will have an impact on people - you should complete an Equality and Fairness Impact Assessment (EFIA).

This form (which includes accompanying guidance) should be completed.

*see full definition on page 5

EFIA – Guidance

The purpose of the EFIA is to ensure that decision makers are fully informed, at a formative stage in the decision-making process.

Under the Equality Act 2010, the Council is required to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity, and foster good relations between equality groups. Under Part 1 of the Act 'The Fairer Scotland Duty', the Council is required to actively consider how it can reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socioeconomic disadvantage, when making strategic decisions.

The online Impact and Value Assessment (IVA) has been developed within the Council to assess all proposals against criteria for reducing poverty and socio-economic disadvantage, eliminating discrimination, advancing equality of opportunity, and fostering good relations between equality groups.

The IVA should first be used at the initial stages of proposal development to **screen** the proposal for any likely positive or negative effects in relation to equality, fairness and human rights. After completing the IVA, it should be evident if your proposal is likely (or not) to have significant implications for: reducing poverty and socio-economic advantage, eliminating discrimination, advancing equality of opportunity, and fostering good relations between equality groups. **If the screening process identifies that there are implications, the IVA will direct you to undertake a full Equality and Fairness Impact Assessment (EFIA).**

When should I carry out an EFIA?

In order to fulfil our general duty, it is critical that the all services conduct an EFIA in the following circumstances:

- **All** significant policies, strategies and projects* should have as a minimum an EFIA screening inbuilt as part of the risk assessment process.
- **All** budget options for each financial year will require to be EFIA screened. (It is possible to group individual options if they relate to one particular service area).
- **All** Reports to Committee now require Equalities Impacts to be reported either as a screening or full EFIA. Significant service reforms **may** require a Full Report to be completed, or as a minimum, a justification in a Screening Report as to why the Full Report was unnecessary.

Equality and Fairness Impact Assessment Screening

A screening can be undertaken as part of a scoping exercise prior to a full report, or it can stand alone as final summary if no significant Equality and Fairness Impacts are identified or arise subsequently in the policy or plan implementation. This is done using the online Impact and Value Assessment.

Equality and Fairness Impact Assessment Full Report

A full report (using this form) should be conducted where a Screening indicates an area or areas that require more detailed consideration.

*see full definition on page 5

Stage 1: Screening

As noted above, a screening should ideally be carried out at the outset of a policy, service reform, or budget proposal* in order to embed consideration of equalities and fairness at the earliest part of the project plan or process.

In order to complete screening please follow the guidance provided within the online [Impact and Value Assessment](#).

A Screening Report should be conducted prior to identifying if a Full Impact Assessment is required, and the findings of the report should inform the introduction to the assessment; and provide the context and background, to outline the purpose and direction of the Full Impact Assessment.

Stage 2: Full Impact Assessment

If there are any areas that arise as part of the screening process that require further investigation or highlight areas of concern with regard to likely impacts across any or all protected characteristics, then a Full Impact Assessment report be conducted.

*see full definition on page 5

EFIA Form

Complete this for all relevant policies.

'Relevant' means it will have an impact on people.

'Policy or Practice' - see definition below.

Definition of policy or practice for the purposes of EFIA:

For the purposes of an EFIA the term 'policy or practice' covers Service delivery and Employment. This can include a Policy, a Plan, a Strategy, a Project, a Service Review, a function, practice or service activity or a Budget option.

Section 1: Policy Details (see definition of Policy' or Practice' above)

Name of Policy or Practice:

No One Left Behind Programme

Service and Division/Team:

Communities, Planning and Development, Economic Development

Owner/Person responsible (include your name and position):

Lynn Webster, Team Leader – Skills and Employment Initiatives

Impact Assessment Team (include your names and positions). This team can consist of two people or more as appropriate:

Alison Seggie – Employability Skills and Special Projects Service Manager
Veronica Lynch – Vice Principal – External Perth College UHI, Chair Perth and Kinross Local Employability Partnership
Evonne Boyd – Area Manager SDS, Vice Chair Perth and Kinross Local Employability Partnership

Is the 'policy' or 'practice' being impact assessed new or existing? Please tick the appropriate box below to indicate:

☐ New ☒ Existing

What are the main aims of the policy or practice?

The Perth and Kinross Local Employability Partnership (LEP) aims to plan and build on the current delivery of the Scottish Government funded No One Left Behind Programme incorporating Parental Employment Support Fund and the Young Persons Guarantee 2022/2025 (£1,551,711) to assist individuals of Perth and Kinross who are unemployed/economically inactive, parents with dependent Children or seeking support to develop their employability skills and progress into a positive destination and gain sustainable employment via a 5-stage Employability Pipeline.

The national funding for employability from the Scottish Government will be distributed at a more local level and through a local strategic employability partnership. The

partnership will decide on the local priorities and areas for action, and to ensure that local employability providers continue to have the opportunity to access funding for service delivery through a commissioned process. The key Scottish Government policy framework for this approach is called No One Left Behind and this will guide the future direction and delivery of employability services.

No One Left Behind is the Scottish approach to employability provision. It focuses on the needs of the individual and builds on their strengths, giving them the right support at the right time to help to improve their life chances.

No One Left Behind is delivered through effective integration and alignment of a variety of local and national support and services. With services working closely together, the aim is to deliver better and more sustainable outcomes for those people who face significant barriers to accessing work. <https://www.gov.scot/publications/no-one-left-behind-delivery-plan/>

Proposed interventions, where appropriate, will offer provision across a 5-stage pipeline for unemployed/underemployed Perth and Kinross residents, particularly those furthest away from the labour market and/or for whom the current employability service does not fully meet their needs. It will support provision for low-income parents to help them progress within work and increase their income. The Employability Pipeline is a multi-service, multi-provider programme of support for eligible individuals of Perth and Kinross, providing a 5-stage pathway of support from worklessness to sustainable employment. In carrying out the programme, the Fair Work Framework will be considered at all time to ensure: appropriate channels for effective voice; investment in workforce development; no inappropriate use of zero hours contracts; action to tackle the gender pay gap and create a more diverse and inclusive workplace; payment of the real Living Wage wherever possible. Overall quality reviews will be carried out by Scottish Government. Milestones against which the progress in achieving objectives shall be monitored and the eligible costs for which the Grant can be claimed will be evidenced in quarterly financial reports.

Who are the main target groups/beneficiaries?

Given the range of barriers someone may experience and intersectionality, the main target groups and beneficiaries for No One Left Behind has been set at a high level to ensure local areas have the flexibility they need:

- 16-19-year-olds/school-leavers without a positive destination
- Jobless care-experienced young people (16-26)
- those involved in the criminal justice system (all ages)
- unemployed people with physical or learning disability able and wishing to progress/return to work (all ages)
- low-income employed parents with physical or learning disability or with children with a physical or learning difficulty (all ages)
- unemployed people with health conditions wishing to progress/return to work including those in recovery from addictions (all ages)
- long-term unemployed residents (all ages)
- unemployed residents residing rural communities and/or in 5% most deprived data zones (SIMD2020) (all ages)

- unemployed Black and minority ethnic residents including asylum seekers and refugees (all ages)
- unemployed people in homeless/temporary accommodation (all ages)
- unemployed/low-income parents with dependent children (all ages)
- unemployed people with other protected characteristics (all ages)

What are the intended outcomes of the policy or practice?

The whole ethos of these interventions is to equip the local individuals who are unemployed/economically inactive or parents with dependent Children of Perth and Kinross with the necessary skills to obtain sustainable employment and subsequently reduce the number in receipt of Out of Work Benefits.

- Integrated service(s) piloted, tested and implemented
- Candidate and employer satisfaction levels improved against baseline
- Employer support for all candidates
- Increased matching of candidates to vacancies
- Reducing economic inactivity/UC levels/reduced claimant count
- Increased business start-up/increased new business sustainability
- Develop and deliver more employability and social inclusion initiatives to provide opportunities for those who experience barriers to accessing or sustaining employment or who are in low paid jobs.
- People are part of a prosperous economy that promotes inclusive growth opportunities across our communities
- Address fair work issues, highlighted by the Fairness Commission, by offering Employer Recruitment Incentives for quality Fair work by encouraging payment at Living Wage Rate

We shall continue to explore additional funding opportunities and through the Tay Cities deal and the UKSPF we will work with our partners to deliver sustainable Regional Economic and Employability Strategies that allow cross area working and open up more types of employment opportunities.

Section 2: Information Gathering

You should list here the sources of information used to assess the impact of the relevant policy or practice. This can include local sources such as reports, information and data, relevant partners' information, data and reports, other Council's relevant information, data and reports, national information, research outcomes, data profiles and any other evidence which has led to the development of this policy. You may wish to refer to Appendix 1 for reference when gathering information relating to Equality Monitoring Data.

Information/Evidence gained and used to shape this policy or practice	List details, source and date (continue on a separate sheet if necessary – tick to indicate this has been done <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)
Community consultation/involvement outcomes from earlier contacts – this usually includes formally arranged contact with individuals or community, voluntary sector and other relevant interest groups	<p>Consultation with key stakeholder engagement</p> <p>Perth and Kinross Local Employability Partnership</p> <p>Perth and Kinross Economic Wellbeing plan member Business, Place and People – Business Gateway, Federation of Small Business, DYW, DWP, SDS, Chamber of Commerce, GrowBiz, Elevator Training, Perth College UHI, PKC, Merlin ERD, Perth and Kinross Association of Voluntary Service Ltd (PKAVS), NHS Tayside</p>
Employee involvement/consultation feedback (eg survey, focus groups)	<p>Participants Review and Evaluation</p> <p>Through review and evaluation of participant participation in programmes YPG/NOLB/PESF</p> <p>Skills and Employment Initiatives – Perth and Kinross Council 2020 to 2022</p> <p>Education and Childcare Services – Perth and Kinross Council and partner organisations</p> <p>Autism Initiative, Volunteering Options, YMCA, KYTHE 2020 to 2022</p>
Research and information list main sources	<p><u>Local Outcomes Improvement Plan 2017-2027</u></p> <p><u>Regional Skills Assessment (SDS)</u></p> <p><u>Annual participation measures (SDS)</u></p> <p><u>Tay Cities Deal – Skills & Employability Programmes</u></p> <p><u>The Perth and Kinross Offer</u></p> <p><u>Economic Wellbeing Plan 2020-2028</u></p> <p><u>A Fairer Scotland for Disabled People:</u></p>

Information/Evidence gained and used to shape this policy or practice	List details, source and date (continue on a separate sheet if necessary – tick to indicate this has been done <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)
	<u>Employment Action Plan</u> <u>Fair Work First</u>
Officer knowledge and experience	<p>Alison Seggie, PKC – Employability Skills and Special Projects Service Manager. Economic Policy link to Tay cities Deal PMO, CPP and DYW Board member</p> <p>Veronica Lynch – Vice Principal – External Perth College UHI, Chair Perth and Kinross Local Employability Partnership; the Chair is the link to the CPP and along with PKC</p> <p>Evonne Boyd – Area manager SDS, Vice Chair Perth and Kinross local Employability Partnership; YPG Operational Lead including P&K YPG Transition Planning Framework</p>
Equality monitoring data	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The strategy will ensure that Scottish Government guidance, policies and news on equalities: https://beta.gov.scot/equality-and-rights/ will form part of the application process for any applicants applying for funding to deliver provision through NOLB programme. • To review existing Statutory Equality Outcomes a consultation was opened in Feb 2021 • April 2021 Perth & Kinross Council published Equalities and Outcomes Mainstreaming Report 2021-2025 • Commission Reports – Perth and Kinross Council are fully committed to further engagement with Fairness Commission feedback and recommendations as and when new developments arise
Service user feedback (including customer contact, services and complaints)	Public consultations various through <u>Consult PKC</u>

Information/Evidence gained and used to shape this policy or practice	List details, source and date (continue on a separate sheet if necessary – tick to indicate this has been done <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>)
Partner feedback	The P&K Economic Wellbeing Plan – out for consultation during the COVID-19 Pandemic closed Sept 2020
Other - this may be information gathered in another Council area, nationally or in partner organisations which is considered to have relevance	

Section 3: Consultation/Involvement

Consultation with key stakeholders can be undertaken throughout the whole of the equality and fairness impact assessment process. This section can include details of outcomes from current, earlier or ongoing consultation/involvement activities. This activity **can also** help to **reach people not previously involved** with these processes, but who will be affected by this policy or practice when it is implemented.

The Consultation/Involvement process can also help **identify or agree changes** that need to be made to ensure the policy or practice will be inclusive when implemented.

The Equalities Team Leader (Equalities@pkc.gov.uk) may be able to provide advice relating to potential contact with consultees from equality protected characteristic groups via existing mechanisms such as the Community Equalities Advisory Group (CEAG) or Equalities Strategic Forum.

A summary of the replies received from individuals and stakeholders consulted/involved. Include any previous feedback or complaints relating to equality and diversity issues and the policy or practice currently being assessed.

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Date	Outcome of Consultation/Involvement (continue on a separate sheet if necessary – tick to indicate this has been done <input type="checkbox"/>)
Age	Older people (65+)		N/A
	Younger people (16-64)		N/A
	Children (0-16)		N/A
	Looked-After Children (Corporate Parenting)		N/A
Disability	Physical disability		N/A
	Sensory impairment		N/A
	Mental health		N/A
	Learning disability		N/A

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Date	Outcome of Consultation/Involvement (continue on a separate sheet if necessary – tick to indicate this has been done <input type="checkbox"/>)
Gender Reassignment	Male transitioning to female		N/A
	Female transitioning to male		N/A
Marriage/Civil Partnership	Women		N/A
	Men		N/A
	Same sex couple (male)		N/A
	Same sex couple (female)		N/A
Pregnancy/Maternity/Paternity	Women		N/A
	Men (Paternity)		N/A
Race	A list of categories used in the census is here		N/A
Religion/Belief	A list of categories used in the census is here		N/A
Sex	Female		N/A
	Male		N/A
	Other gender identity		N/A
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian		N/A
	Gay		N/A
	Bisexual		N/A
Socio-economic (fairness)	Options detailed in Appendix 2		N/A

Section 4: Detail the positive and/or negative impacts or tick to indicate no impact identified

Key questions to address

The Assessment should highlight areas of interest covering the following:

- positive and negative impacts across all protected characteristics
- scale of the impact: an indication of the degree of potential impact, and whether this is judged to have a High, Medium or Low impact potential
- anticipated duration of the impact if relevant
- whether there is a specific differential impact to a particular protected characteristic or characteristics
- or if the impact is more wide ranging and general in its effect
- whether any impacts identified would/could be mitigated by an amendment to the policy, practice budget decision or service reform proposal

This information will be indicated by activities at Section 2 and Section 3 above.

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Positive Impact (it could benefit the group concerned)	Negative Impact (it could disadvantage the group concerned)	No Impact
Age	Older people (65+)	Medium - The programme supports improved life chances for people of all ages.		
	Younger people (16-64)	High - The programme supports improved life chances for people of all ages.		
	Children (0-16)			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Positive Impact (it could benefit the group concerned)	Negative Impact (it could disadvantage the group concerned)	No Impact
	Looked-After Children (Corporate Parenting)			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
Disability	Physical disability	High - The work of the programme aims to support local and national priorities aligned to reducing the disability employment gap.		
	Sensory impairment	High - The work of the programme aims to support local and national priorities aligned to reducing the disability employment gap.		
	Mental health	High - The work of the programme aims to support local and national priorities aligned to reducing the Mental Health employment gap.		
	Learning disability	High - The work of the programme aims to support local and national priorities aligned to reducing the learning disability employment gap.		

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Positive Impact (it could benefit the group concerned)	Negative Impact (it could disadvantage the group concerned)	No Impact
Gender Reassignment	Male transitioning to female			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
	Female transitioning to male			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
Marriage/Civil Partnership	Women			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
	Men			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
	Same sex couple (male)			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Positive Impact (it could benefit the group concerned)	Negative Impact (it could disadvantage the group concerned)	No Impact
	Same sex couple (female)			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
Pregnancy/ Maternity/ Paternity	Women			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
	Men (paternity)			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
Race	A list of categories used in the census is here	High - The work of the programme proactively aims to support those from minority ethnic backgrounds, refugees and migrant workers improve language skills and skills for work to support integration in communities, links with essential support services and progress to work.		
Religion/Belief	A list of categories used in the census is here	High - The work of the programme aims to support		No impact

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Positive Impact (it could benefit the group concerned)	Negative Impact (it could disadvantage the group concerned)	No Impact
		learning around diversity, inclusiveness and culture for all.		
Sex	Female			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
	Male			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
	Other gender identity			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian			The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
	Gay	As above		The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Positive Impact (it could benefit the group concerned)	Negative Impact (it could disadvantage the group concerned)	No Impact
	Bisexual	As above		The work of the programme has no direct impact on meeting our equalities for this protected characteristics group.
Socio-economic (fairness)	Options detailed in Appendix 2	High - The work of the programme has a positive impact on equality. It ensures that those with the greatest need for support access it at the right time for the best impact for the individual concerned. The work of the programme helps to meet the council duty to reduce socio-economic disadvantage supporting inclusive growth ensuring that people have access to learning and employability opportunities that support them to progress.		

Section 5: Recommendations and Actions

As a result of this equality impact assessment, please **clearly describe practical actions** you plan to take to:

- reduce or remove any identified **negative impact**
- promote any **positive impact** or
- **gather** further information/evidence

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Action	Who is responsible	Date for completion
Age	Older people (65+)	N/A		
	Younger people (16-64)	N/A		
	Children (0-16)	N/A		
	Looked After Children (Corporate Parenting)	N/A		
Disability	Physical disability	N/A		
	Sensory impairment	N/A		
	Mental health	N/A		
	Learning disability	N/A		
Gender Reassignment	Male transitioning to female	N/A		
	Female transitioning to male	N/A		
Marriage/Civil Partnership	Women	N/A		

Equality Protected Characteristic	Specific Characteristics	Action	Who is responsible	Date for completion
	Men	N/A		
	Same sex couple (male)	N/A		
	Same sex couple (female)	N/A		
Pregnancy/Maternity/Paternity	Women	N/A		
	Men (paternity)	N/A		
Race	A list of categories used in the census is here	N/A		
Religion/Belief	A list of categories used in the census is here	N/A		
Sex	Female	N/A		
	Male	N/A		
	Other gender identity	N/A		
Sexual Orientation	Lesbian	N/A		
	Gay	N/A		
	Bisexual	N/A		
Socio-economic (fairness)	As detailed in Appendix 2	N/A		

Section 6: Outcomes

When the evidence has been considered in relation to the proposed Policy, Practice, Project, Service Reform or Budget Option, it will be apparent what the likely impacts are. The type, scale, duration, and specificity of the likely impacts will inform the direction of the outcome of the EFIA.

There are four potential outcomes as follows:

1. No major change required. The Policy, Practice, Project, Service Reform or Budget Option is robust and can continue without amendment
2. Continue the Policy, Practice, Project, Service Reform or Budget Option. A justification is required for continuing despite the potential for adverse impact
3. Adjust or Amend the Policy, Practice, Project, Service Reform or Budget Option. Remove barriers, make changes to better advance equality or remove or mitigate negative impact
4. Stop, or Remove the Policy, Practice Project, Service Reform or Budget Option if adverse effects cannot be justified and cannot be mitigated.

Further consultation work is required particularly with employability client groups to ensure all have fair and equal access to services. Some services may need to provide specific additional support to ensure all user groups are involved and actively supported. We will also consult with the Equalities Forum to consider further feedback and potential service improvements.

Section 7: Authorising the Assessment

The following signatures are required:

Service Manager

Signed	Name	Alison Seggie	Date
--------	------	---------------	------

Quality Assured by PKC Equality and Fairness Impact Assessment Trained Officer (within service)

Signed	Name	David McPhee	Date
--------	------	--------------	------

Section 8: Publishing the Assessment

The completed and authorised EFIA should be added to your Service pages on the internet.

Date Action Completed	Date for Review of EFIA
-----------------------	-------------------------

Section 9: Committee Reporting

Ensure your Committee **report** to accompany this policy **includes information** about any **actions** taken to reduce or remove **negative impacts** identified, or include any **positive impacts** expected when the policy is implemented.

Section 10: Review and Monitor

Note of Action required (from Section 5)

Date completed

Note of Action required (from Section 5)

Date completed

Note of Action required (from Section 5)

Date completed

Note of Action required (from Section 5)

Date completed

Add more sections as required

Appendix 1 – Equality Monitoring Data Guidance

The Equality Protected Characteristics in our area

There are nine protected characteristics in the Equality Act and these are disability, sex, race, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, age, marriage and civil partnership, pregnancy and maternity and religion and belief.

The [Scottish Government Equality Evidence Finder](#) is updated twice a year with data surrounding equality evidence from a wide range of policy areas. Some key local statistics should be noted:

Disability – 28% of the Perth and Kinross population consider themselves to have a long-term physical or mental health condition, compared to 22% for Scotland overall. (Scottish Household Survey 2016)

Sex – 49% of the Perth and Kinross population identify as male, the same as Scotland overall. (Scottish Household Survey 2016)

Race – 98% of the Perth and Kinross adult population classify themselves as 'White', compared to 96% for Scotland as a whole (Scottish Household Survey 2016)

Sexual orientation – 99% of the Perth and Kinross adult population identify as Heterosexual, compared to 98% for Scotland overall. (Scottish Household Survey 2016)

Gender reassignment – The Registrar General for Scotland maintains a Gender Recognition Register in which the birth of a transgender person whose acquired gender has been legally recognised is registered showing any new name(s) and the acquired gender. This enables the transgender person to apply to the Registrar General for Scotland for a new birth certificate showing the new name(s) and the acquired gender. The Gender Recognition Register is not open to public scrutiny. Local information is not available. (NRS Registration Division 2016)

Age – Young people under 16 currently make up 16% of the population in Perth and Kinross, compared to the national average of 17%. People aged 65 and over account for 23% of the total population, higher than the national average of 19%. By 2039 this proportion is set to increase to 30%. (ONS Population data)

Marriage and civil partnership – 58% of the Perth and Kinross adult population are married or in a civil partnership, compared to 47% for Scotland as a whole. (Scottish Household Survey 2016)

Pregnancy and maternity – In 2016, the birth rate was 53.5 per 1,000 women aged 15-44. In other words, broadly 5.4% of women of childbearing age were pregnant in 2016 in Perth and Kinross, compared to 5.2% for Scotland as a whole. (NRS Vital events 2016)

Religion and belief - 52% of the Perth & Kinross adult population consider themselves to have a religious belief, compared to 49% for Scotland as a whole. (Scottish Household Survey 2016)

National data sources have been used to provide this information but it should be noted that the Scottish Household Survey is only based on a sample of respondents so variations may not be statistically significant.

Appendix 2– Socio-economic (Fairness)

Socio-economic disadvantage:

- Low Income – (in comparison to most others) – can be measured in a range of ways, eg relative poverty (after housing costs) looks at number of individuals living in households with incomes below 60% of UK median income. Statistics on absolute poverty (household living standards over time) and persistent poverty (where households live in poverty for 3 years out of 4) are also available. Poverty statistics can also be broken down by gender, disability, ethnicity, tenure and urban/rural.
- Low/No Wealth – having access to wealth, eg financial products, equity from housing and a pension, provides some protection from socio-economic disadvantage. Single adult households (including single parent households) have very high risks of low wealth; households with lower educational qualifications and in routine or manual occupations have significantly higher risks of low wealth.
- Material deprivation – refers to households being unable to access basic goods and services and tends to focus on families with children.
- Area deprivation - living in a deprived area can exacerbate negative outcomes for individuals and households already affected by issues of low income.
- Socio-economic background – the structural disadvantage that can arise from parents' education, employment and income (ie social class) is more difficult to measure.

Inequalities of outcome – any measurable differences for communities of interest or communities of place such as:

- poorer skills and attainment
- lower quality, less secure and lower paid work
- greater chance of being a victim of crime
- lower healthy life expectancy
- less chance of a dignified and respectful life

Communities of Place – refers to people who are bound together because of where they reside, work, visit or otherwise spend a continuous proportion of their time. Poverty is often hidden in smaller rural communities with issues such as cost of living and accessibility of transport, education and employment impacting more negatively.

Communities of Interest – refers to people who share an identity, eg an equality protected characteristic. Consideration of the impact on those groups can help develop a deeper understanding of socio-economic impact, particularly by talking to people with lived experiences.

For further information refer to [Fairer Scotland Duty - Interim Guidance for Public Bodies](#).

Appendix 3 – Human Rights Based Approach

A Human Rights approach should also be an embedded consideration in an EFIA.

In summary; we need to consider, where applicable, to what (if any) extent policies, practices, projects, Service Reforms, or Budget Options impact on three key strands of Human Rights:

Absolute rights

- the right to life
- the right to freedom from inhuman and degrading treatment

Limited rights

- the right to liberty
- the right to a fair trial

Qualified rights

- the right to respect for private and family life, home and correspondence
- the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion
- the right to freedom of assembly and association
- the right to protection of property

Any restriction of Qualified Rights must be:

- in accordance with the law: have a basis in domestic law, safeguards against arbitrary interference, foreseeable
- in pursuit of a legitimate aim: including "the economic wellbeing of the country"; "the protection of health", "protection of the rights and freedoms of others"
- necessary
- proportionate
- not discriminatory

There is further guidance on integrating human rights into the equality impact assessment process available on the Scottish Human Rights Commission website following previous pilots with local authorities: <http://eqhria.scottishhumanrights.com/>