# 7. IMPACT AND VALUE ASSESSMENT

Report Title: Locality PB Fund 2024/25 - Funding Awards

Where the Impact & Value Assessment has identified a likely impact in relation to the committee proposal, detailed evidence is provided below.

## 7.1 STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

# 7.1.1 Corporate Plan

Working in partnership with communities? - YES.

Tackling poverty? - YES.

Tackling climate change and supporting sustainable places? - YES.

Developing as resilient, stronger and greener local economy? - YES.

Enabling our children & young people to achieve their full potential? - YES.

Protecting and caring for our most vulnerable people? - YES.

Supporting and promoting physical and mental wellbeing? - YES.

# 7.1.2 Legal and Governance

Legal implications identified? - NO.

Governance implications identified? - NO.

#### 7.2 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

#### 7.2.1 Finance

Financial implications identified? - YES.

£100,000 committed for Local Action Partnership funding in 23/24 budget used for PB. The fund invests council monies into local community projects, based on decisions made by local people.

### 7.2.2 Workforce

Workforce implications identified? - NO.

#### 4.2.3 Asset Management

Asset Management implications identified? - NO.

### 7.3 CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATION

### 7.3.1 Consultation

Internal or external consultation? - YES.

Internal consultation undertaken. The funding programme has been developed in consultation with CLD colleagues and the Committee Convenor.

#### 7.3.2 Communications

Communications plan? - YES.

The Council and applicant groups advertised the fund to attract votes and the results will be available on the Council website.

#### 7.4 POLICY CHECKLIST

This report has been classified as being an operational report and not a new policy or change to an existing policy document.

### 7.4.1 Corporate Risk Assessment

Corporate risk implications identified? - NO.

# 7.4.2 Equality & Fairness Impact Assessment (EFIA)

Protected equality characteristics impact? - YES.

The fund supported projects that provided increased access to sport opportunities and activities to young people. Efforts were also made in localities to allow school pupils to vote for local projects

A full EFIA is required (based on likely impacts to protected equality characteristics) and will be undertaken before the report is implemented.

Human Rights impact? - NO IMPACT.

Inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage impact? - POSITIVE IMPACT.

The main criteria of the fund was to help tackle poverty and alleviate the cost of living. All funded projects incorporate these in some way.

A full EFIA is required (including details of likely impacts from inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage) and will be undertaken before the report is implemented.

Inequalities of outcome in relation to Communities of Place or Communities of Interest impact? - POSITIVE IMPACT.

The fund targeted specific communities of place based on those below the average percentage of income deprived populations.

A full EFIA is required (including details of likely impacts from inequalities of outcome in relation to Communities of Place or Communities of Interest) and will be undertaken before the report is implemented.

# 7.4.3 Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)

A SEA pre-screening assessment is indicated, and will be undertaken before this report is implemented.

### 7.4.4 Climate Change Impact Assessment

Business, Industry & Supply Chain impact? - YES.

The fund supported projects aiming to reduce food waste, encourage reuse of school uniforms and renovated bicycles to promote active travel.

Energy, Buildings & Infrastructure impact? - NO.

Land Use & Land-Use Change impact? - NO.

Transport & Connectivity impact? - YES.

The fund supported an project aiming to provide a community with low cost renovated bicycles to reduce barriers to active travel methods. The fund supported an project aiming to provide a community with low cost renovated bicycles to reduce barriers to active travel methods.

Waste & the Circular Economy impact? - YES.

The fund supported community fridge and allotment projects, encouraging local communities to reduce food waste and grow their own. Other similar projects also involved repairing and revamping possessions to increase longevity. The fund supported community fridge and allotment projects, encouraging local communities to reduce food waste and grow their own. The fund support a Revamp and Repair project to provide support to the local community in extending the life of their possessions.

Climate Resilience & Engagement impact? - NO.

# 7.4.5 Child Rights & Wellbeing Impact Assessment

Impact based on the GIRFEC wellbeing indicators? - YES.

A number of funded projects are specifically targeted towards increasing opportunities for young people, particularly in sport and exercise. Local school pupils in some localities were also given the opportunity to vote in their schools to be a part of the decision-making process.

# 7.4.6 Sustainable Procurement & Supply Impact Assessment

Resource use and consumption impact? - NO.

Social wellbeing impact? - NO.

Environmental wellbeing impact? - NO.

Economic wellbeing impact? - NO.

#### 7.4.7 Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)

Impact related to personal data/information? - NO.