

# IMPACT AND VALUE ASSESSMENT

**Report Title:** Green Living Fund - Round 2

Where the Impact & Value Assessment has identified a likely impact in relation to the committee proposal, detailed evidence is provided below.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

### Corporate Plan

Working in partnership with communities? - YES.

Tackling poverty? - YES.

Tackling climate change and supporting sustainable places? - YES.

Developing as resilient, stronger and greener local economy? - YES.

Enabling our children & young people to achieve their full potential? - NO.

Protecting and caring for our most vulnerable people? - NO.

Supporting and promoting physical and mental wellbeing? - YES.

### Legal and Governance

Legal implications identified? - NO.

Governance implications identified? - YES.

The governance implications are around the governance of the grant award and delivery monitoring processes.

## RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

### Finance

Financial implications identified? - NO.

### Workforce

Workforce implications identified? - NO.

### Asset Management

Asset Management implications identified? - NO.

## CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATION

### Consultation

Internal or external consultation? - NO.

### Communications

Communications plan? - YES.

There will be workshops and comms around the fund to try and get projects submitted and then to mobilise the community to vote for their favourite projects. The community voting comms will be done in parallel with the Fairer Communities for All fund

## **POLICY CHECKLIST**

This report represents a new policy or a change to an existing policy document.

### **Corporate Risk Assessment**

Corporate risk implications identified? - NO.

### **Equality & Fairness Impact Assessment (EFIA)**

Protected equality characteristics impact? - NO.

---

Human Rights impact? - NO IMPACT.

---

Inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage impact? - POSITIVE IMPACT.

The grant programme is for projects that tackle climate change and cost of living together. The actual potential for positive impact depends on the grant applications received

A full EFIA is required (including details of likely impacts from inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage) and will be undertaken before the report is implemented.

---

Inequalities of outcome in relation to Communities of Place or Communities of Interest impact? - POSITIVE IMPACT.

Hard to assess until specific grant applications come in, but focus on green projects that reduce cost of living impacts so it should be positive

A full EFIA is required (including details of likely impacts from inequalities of outcome in relation to Communities of Place or Communities of Interest) and will be undertaken before the report is implemented.

---

### **Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)**

This proposal does not qualify for SEA.

### **Climate Change Impact Assessment**

Business, Industry & Supply Chain impact? - NO.

Energy, Buildings & Infrastructure impact? - UNSURE/MAYBE.

Possible - dependent on applications put forward

Land Use & Land-Use Change impact? - UNSURE/MAYBE.

Possible - dependent on applications put forward

Transport & Connectivity impact? - UNSURE/MAYBE.

Possible - dependent on applications put forward

Waste & the Circular Economy impact? - UNSURE/MAYBE.

Potential for positive impact depending on grant applications received

Climate Resilience & Engagement impact? - UNSURE/MAYBE.

Potential for positive impact depending on grant applications received

### **Child Rights & Wellbeing Impact Assessment**

Impact based on the GIRFEC wellbeing indicators? - NO.

### **Sustainable Procurement & Supply Impact Assessment**

Resource use and consumption impact? - NO.

Social wellbeing impact? - NO.

Environmental wellbeing impact? - NO.

Economic wellbeing impact? - NO.

### **7.4.7 Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)**

Impact related to personal data/information? - YES.