

# IMPACT AND VALUE ASSESSMENT

**Report Title:** Green Living Fund - Round 3

Where the Impact & Value Assessment has identified a likely impact in relation to the committee proposal, detailed evidence is provided below.

## STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

### Corporate Plan

Working in partnership with communities? - YES.

Tackling poverty? - YES.

Tackling climate change and supporting sustainable places? - YES.

Developing as resilient, stronger and greener local economy? - YES.

Enabling our children & young people to achieve their full potential? - NO.

Protecting and caring for our most vulnerable people? - NO.

Supporting and promoting physical and mental wellbeing? - YES.

### Legal and Governance

Legal implications identified? - NO.

Governance implications identified? - YES.

The governance implications are around the governance of the grant award and delivery monitoring processes

## RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS

### Finance

Financial implications identified? - NO.

### Workforce

Workforce implications identified? - NO.

### Asset Management

Asset Management implications identified? - NO.

## CONSULTATION AND COMMUNICATION

### Consultation

Internal or external consultation? - NO.

### Communications

Communications plan? - NO.

## **POLICY CHECKLIST**

This report has been classified as being an operational report with new or additional strategic and resource implications.

### **Corporate Risk Assessment**

Corporate risk implications identified? - NO.

### **Equality & Fairness Impact Assessment (EFIA)**

Protected equality characteristics impact? - NO.

---

Human Rights impact? - No impact.

---

Inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage impact? - Positive impact only.

The scope of the grant is to help communities with the cost-of-living by facilitating projects that also tackle climate change. Projects could therefore be beneficial for tackling socio-economic disadvantages. Some of the examples of projects from previous rounds of the GLF include: provision of digital food vouchers, free school packs (clothing), food growing allotments, community food pantry. The actual potential positive impact depends on the grant applications received.

A full EFIA is required (including details of likely impacts from inequalities of outcome caused by socio-economic disadvantage) and will be undertaken before the report is implemented.

---

Inequalities of outcome in relation to Communities of Place or Communities of Interest impact? - Positive impact only.

Hard to assess until specific grant applications come in, but focus on green projects that reduce cost of living impacts so it should be positive

A full EFIA is required (including details of likely impacts from inequalities of outcome in relation to Communities of Place or Communities of Interest) and will be undertaken before the report is implemented.

### **Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA)**

This proposal does not qualify for SEA.

### **Climate Change Impact Assessment**

Business, Industry & Supply Chain impact? - NO.

Energy Consumption impact? - UNSURE.

Buildings & Infrastructure impact? - UNSURE.

Positive impacts - depending on applications put forward

Land Use & Land-Use Change impact? - UNSURE.

Positive - dependent on applications put forward

Transport & Connectivity impact? - UNSURE.

Positive - dependent on applications put forward

Waste & the Circular Economy impact? - UNSURE.

Positive - dependent on applications put forward

Climate Resilience & Engagement impact? - UNSURE.

Positive - dependent on applications put forward

### **Child Rights & Wellbeing Impact Assessment**

Impact based on the GIRFEC wellbeing indicators? - NO.

### **Sustainable Procurement & Supply Impact Assessment**

Resource use and consumption impact? - NO.

Social wellbeing impact? - NO.

Environmental wellbeing impact? - NO.

Economic wellbeing impact? - NO.

### **Data Protection Impact Assessment (DPIA)**

Impact related to personal data/information? - YES.

A DPIA has been undertaken. the DPIA checklist has been submitted